

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/087,500	03/01/2002	Jeffrey W. Ronne	GP-301390	3019
75	90 07/17/2003			
LAURA C. HARGITT General Motors Corporation Legal Staff, Mail Code 482-C23-B21			EXAMINER	
			ROSENBERG, LAURA B	
P.O. Box 300 Detroit, MI 48265-3000			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3616	
			DATE MAILED: 07/17/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
,						
Office Action Summany	10/087,500	RONNE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
71 24411110 0 0 0 7	Laura B Rosenberg	3616				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
•	— · is action is non-final.					
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowa	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2 	5) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 10/087,500

Art Unit: 3616

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Claim Objections

1. Claim 6 objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Specifically, claim 6 is a duplicate of lines 4-5 of claim 2.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over LeVey et al. (6,540,251) in view of Tajima et al. (6,485,048). In regards to claims 1, 7, and 10, LeVey et al. disclose a snap-in roof rail air bag assembly for a vehicle having a roof rail (#20) adapted for snap-in installation in the roof rail from outside the vehicle (column 2, lines 50-54) comprising an air bag module including an air bag (not shown) with at least one cushion retention tab (#10), a snap in clip (#50, 60) permanently attachable to the roof rail and selectively attachable to the at least one cushion retention tab (figures 1, 2), and a removable serviceability attachment feature (#30, 40) for attaching the air bag module at the at least one cushion retention tab (#10) to the snap-

Art Unit: 3616

in clip and for selectively detaching the air bag module from the snap-in clip (best seen in figure 1), the removable serviceability attachment feature able to be used for removal of the air bag module for service. LeVey et al. do not disclose that the air bag module includes an air bag inflator. Tajima et al. teach a roof rail air bag assembly for a vehicle having a roof rail (#RR) comprising an air bag module (#M1-M4) including an air bag inflator (#31) and an air bag (#23, 23A, 23B). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time that the invention was made to modify the air bag module of LeVey et al. such that it comprised an air bag inflator as claimed in view of the teachings of Tajima et al. so as to inflate the air bag. Further, it is well known in the art that roof rail side air bag modules of this type include at least one air bag inflator. The method of claim 7 reads on the apparatus described above.

In regards to claims 2, 6, 8, 9, and 11, LeVey et al. do not disclose features of an air bag inflator. Tajima et al. teach an inflator bracket (#33) having an inflator support portion (#33a) supporting the air bag inflator (#32) and an attachment portion (#33c) having a mounting tab for receiving a bolt (#35; location of bolt similar to location of LeVey's serviceability attachment feature) and for capturing at least one cushion retention tab (#26, 28) between the inflator bracket (#33) and a clip (#37; location of clip similar to location of LeVey's snap-in clip) prior to attaching the clip to the cushion retention tab (column 18, lines 23-51). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time that the invention was made to modify the air bag assembly of LeVey et al. such that it comprised an air bag inflator bracket having a support portion and an

Art Unit: 3616

attachment portion as claimed in view of the teachings of Tajima et al. so as to properly secure an inflator to the roof rail of a vehicle (Tajima et al.: column 6, lines 47-49).

In regards to claims 3 and 4, LeVey et al. do not disclose an air bag cover. Tajima et al. teach an air bag cover (#27) protectingly covering the air bag and having a tear seam along one edge (any portion of the cover #27 can become a tear seam; column 9, lines 17-19), the cushion retention tab (#26, 28) extending through the air bag cover (bottom portion of #26; can be seen in figure 20) for at least partially supporting the air bag and the air bag cover. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time that the invention was made to modify the air bag assembly of LeVey et al. such that it comprised an air bag cover as claimed in view of the teachings of Tajima et al. so as to support the air bag in its folded state until inflation occurs (Tajima et al.: column 17, lines 49-53).

In regards to claim 5, LeVey et al. do not disclose features of an inflator bracket. Tajima et al. teach the mounting tab (#33c) of the inflator bracket (#33) being attached to the clip (#37) with the bolt (#35). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time that the invention was made to modify the air bag assembly of LeVey et al. such that it comprised an inflator bracket mounting tab attached to the snap-in clip with the serviceability attachment feature as claimed in view of the teachings of Tajima et al. so as to detachably secure the inflator to the roof rail (column 6, line 65-column 7, line 4).

Application/Control Number: 10/087,500

Art Unit: 3616

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Nieuwveld, Furman, Karlow et al., Marjanski et al., Bentley, Shibata et al., Worrell et al., Kowalski et al., Devane et al., Welch et al., Mueller, Webber et al., and Nakazawa disclose fastening devices, some specifically used in air bag assemblies.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Laura B Rosenberg whose telephone number is (703) 305-3135. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, alternating Fridays 7:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Dickson can be reached at (703) 308-2089. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9326 for regular communications and (703) 872-9327 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

LBR

July 13, 2003

Land B. Rosenly

PAUL N. DICKSON SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Page 5